



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/838,424  | 04/19/2001  | Frederic Bauchot     | FR920000030US1      | 3573             |
| 7590  | 09/30/2004  |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| David A. Mims, Jr.<br>IBM Corporation<br>Intellectual Property Law Dept.<br>11400 Burner Road - Internal Zip 4054<br>Austin, TX 78758 |             |                      | STEVENS, ROBERT     |                  |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 2176                |                  |
| DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004   |             |                      |                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                          |                  |                |  |
|--------------------------|------------------|----------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |
|                          | 09/838,424       | BAUCHOT ET AL. |  |
|                          | Examiner         | Art Unit       |  |
|                          | Robert M Stevens | 2176           |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Robert M Stevens. (3) Paul Heydon.

(2) Joseph Feild. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 27 September 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Blood spreadsheet.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed rationale behind 101 rejections. Discussed specification and drawings issues raised in Office Action. Discussed 103 rejections made in Office Action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
**JOSEPH FEILD**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required